To: Delgado, Eric[Delgado.Eric@epa.gov]

From: Loesel, Matthew

Sent: Sun 8/16/2015 6:25:40 PM

Subject: FW: Data Template

Not sure since when since they did not post the tables I sent with previous messages. I will change that process from here on out if that is what is now going to happen.

Matt Loesel

R6 Planning Section Chief

US EPA - Region 6

817-271-4586

From: Burgo, Daniel

Sent: Sunday, August 16, 2015 1:24 PM

To: Loesel, Matthew; Delgado, Eric; Rauscher, Jon

Cc: kris.fuller@westonsolutions.com; Schaefer, Joe; Webster, Susan; Smith, Terry

Subject: RE: Data Template

Matt.

I'm working in the AC Data Management unit now and I just want to let you know that Terry is working with PIOs and posting the data exactly how you guys send it up the chain. If you check the http://www2.epa.gov/goldkingmine/data-gold-king-mine-response website you can see how the data is being posted. But with our new procedures including this template we will get the data flow process squared away.

Thanks

Dan

OSC Dan Burgo U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 5 Post Office Square Suite 100 (OSRR02-2) Boston, MA 02109

(617) 918-1052 Desk (617) 918-0052 Fax

From: Loesel, Matthew

Sent: Sunday, August 16, 2015 12:20 PM

To: Delgado, Eric; Rauscher, Jon

Cc: kris.fuller@westonsolutions.com; Burgo, Daniel; Schaefer, Joe; Webster, Susan; Smith,

Terry

Subject: RE: Data Template

I have no problem with how the data gets posted, I thought those tables were going straight from Data/Scribe to either UC or HQ, the tables we have were never supposed to be posted. We senf them along with our message for the UC to see as a courtesy

Matt Loesel

R6 Planning Section Chief

US EPA - Region 6

817-271-4586

From: Delgado, Eric

Sent: Sunday, August 16, 2015 1:12 PM **To:** Loesel, Matthew; Rauscher, Jon

Cc: kris.fuller@westonsolutions.com; Burgo, Daniel; Schaefer, Joe; Webster, Susan; Smith,

l erry

Subject: Fwd: Data Template

Matt/Jon

We have been working with HQ in a streamlined approach for data transmission from the regions to UAC to HQ then ultimate data posting to the web.

We are all in favor of this new format because it will allow us to have a better handle of what is posted to the site and maintain data integrity.

Are you all ok with this? If there are reports that you need that are regionally specific for your use we can do that but we need to standardize how we submit data to HQ.

Sent from my iPad

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Smith, Terry" < Smith. Terry@epa.gov > Date: August 16, 2015 at 12:11:49 PM CDT

To: "Delgado, Eric" < Delgado. Eric@epa.gov >, EOC Public Information

< EOC Public Information@epa.gov>, EOC Environmental Unit

<EOC Environmental Unit@epa.gov>, "EOC Manager," <EOC Manager@epa.gov>,

EOC ORD <EOC ORD@epa.gov>

Subject: Data Template

All. Here is our proposed template for data transmission. This is very similar to Scribe deliverables. It allows us here in the EU to sort data for better review and verification process. The template I am attaching has one row of Example results for visualization.

Please review an let me know if this is going to be acceptable by the Regional community and PI. There have been some data posted on the web using this format.

Thanks

Terry